

REFERENCES

- Accorsi C.A., 1985. Flora Palinologica Italiana. Una linea per aerobiologia. *Aerobiologia* 1: 21-29.
- Accorsi C.A., Bandini Mazzanti M., Dallai D., 1989. Flora Palinologica Italiana, Sezione Aeropalinologica - S 103: *Cupressus sempervirens* L. *Aerobiologia* 5: 161168.
- Accorsi C.A., Arobba D., Bandini Mazzanti M., Barberini E., Dallai D., Forlani L., Marchesini M., Mercuri A., Trevisan Grandi G. & Torri P., 1992. La Flora Palinologica Italiana in versione "macro". 87° Congr. S.B.I., Sassari, 29 Sett.-3 Ott. 1992, *Giorn. Bot. Ital.* 126: 421.
- Accorsi C.A., Torri P., Dallai D., Barbieri G., Bertellini E., Montecchi M.C., Rinaldi R., Bertoni D. & Barberini E., 2007. "Polline all'Orto botanico": un progetto scientifico/didattico/museale all'Orto di Modena - Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia. 102° Congr. Naz. S.B.I. Palermo, 26 – 29 Sett. 2007: 341.
- Barbieri G. & Torri P., 2007. Il "Gioco del polline all'Orto botanico di Modena". *Riass. 102° Congr. Naz. S.B.I. Palermo, 26 – 29 Sett. 2007: 247.*
- Bosi G., Barbieri G., Ronconi L., Dallai D. & Bandini Mazzanti M., 2006. Percorsi e Laboratori all'orto Botanico di Modena: oltre vent'anni di storia e nuove proposte. 101° Congr. Naz. S.B.I. Caserta, 27 – 29 settembre 2006: 188.
- Beug H.J., 1961. *Leitfaden der Pollenbestimmung für Mitteleuropa und angrenzende Gebiete*. Gustav Fischer Verlag, Stuttgart.
- Caramiello R., Comino E., Potenza A. & Siniscalco C., 1992. Flora Palinologica Italiana, Sezione Aeropalinologica- Specie esotiche - S 229: *Thuja orientalis* L. (Cupressaceae); S 230: *Cryptomeria japonica* (L.fil.) D.Don (Taxodiaceae). *Aerobiologia* 8: 189204.
- Caramiello R. & Fossa V., 1993. Flora Palinologica Italiana, Sezione Aeropalinologica - Specie esotiche - S 231: *Sequoia sempervirens* (Lamb) (Taxodiaceae). *Aerobiologia* 9: 217224.
- Ciampolini F., Cresti M., 1981. *Atlante dei principali pollini allergenici presenti in Italia*. Università di Siena, Siena.
- Dallai D. & Sgarbi E., 2005. Un'esperienza di conservazione in situ/ex situ dell'orto Botanico di Modena: *Viola pumila* Chaix nel territorio emiliano. *Atti Soc. Nat. Mat. Modena*, 135 (2004): 93 –108.
- Dallai D. & Del Prete C., 1996. Esperienze di didattica pre-universitaria all'orto Botanico dell'università di Modena. *Atti Riunione Scient. "Didattica pre-universitaria negli Orti Botanici"* (Arco - TN, 15-16 settembre 1994). *Inf. Bot. Ital.* 28,1: 118 - 122 .
- Dallai D. & Garbari F., 1998. L'Orto Botanico dell'università di Modena nella ricerca e nella didattica biologico-naturalistica. *Atti Conv. "Giornata di Studi in Ricordo di Daria Bertolani Marchetti"*. Formigine (MO), 18 maggio 1996. *Deput. St. Patr. Ant. Prov. Modenesi, Biblioteca, n.s.* 150: 83-98.
- Del Prete C., Dallai D., Sgarbi E. & Maffettone L., 2006. The University Botanic Garden of Modena: strategies in plant conservation and habitat management . In Gafta D. & Akeroyd J. (Eds.) "Nature Conservation. Concepts and Practice. Springer: 369 – 379.
- Erdtman G., 1943. Pollen and spore morphology and plant taxonomy – Gymnospermae, Briophyta. *Almqvist & Wicksells, Stockolms.*
- Erdtman G., 1960. The acetolysis method. A revised description. *Svensk. Bot. Tidkr.* 54 (4): 561-564.
- Faegri K. & Iversen J., 1992. *Textbook of pollen analysis*. 4° ed. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester.
- Feliziani V., 1986. *Polline di interesse allergologico. Guida al loro riconoscimento*. Masson Italia, Milano.
- Grilli Caiola M., Travaglini A., Giuliano M., 2000. Palynological study of *Cupressus sempervirens* L. var. *pyramidalis* and var. *horizontalis*. *Plant Biosystem*, 134 (1): 99-109.
- Hyde H.A., Adams K.F., 1958. *An Atlas of Airborne pollen grains*. Macmillan & Co, London.
- Moore P.D., Webb J.A., Collins M.E., 1991. *Pollen Analysis*. 2° ed., Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford.
- Mor C.G. & Di Pietro P., 1975. Istituto ed Orto Botanico. In: "Storia dell'università di Modena II" Leo Olschki, Firenze: 477 - 479.
- Nilsson S., Praglowski J. & Nilsson L., 1977. *Atlas of Airborne Pollen Grains and Spores in Northern Europe*. Natur och Kultur, Stockholm.
- Olmi L., Torri P., Dallai D., Accorsi C.A., Corradi E., Lopez Garcia B., 2007. "I pollini fanno l'occholino agli artisti". Concorso per Opere d'Arte ispirate dalle gigantografie dei pollini esposte nell'Orto botanico dell'Università di Modena. *Riass. 102° Congr. Naz. S.B.I. Palermo, 26 – 29 Sett. 2007: 353*
- Pignatti S., 1982. *Flora d'Italia I-III*. Edagricole, Bologna.
- Reille M., 1995. *Pollen et Spores d'Europe et d'Afrique du Nord*. Laboratoire de botanique historique et palinologie. URA CNRS 1152, Marseille.
- Torri P., Accorsi C.A., Dallai D., Mercuri A.M, Trevisan Grandi G., Casini C. & Vanzini E., 2007. Immagine pollinica dell'Orto Botanico di Modena: primi dati (piante legnose). 102° Congr. Naz. S.B.I. Palermo, 26 – 29 settembre 2007: 372.

THE BEAUTIFUL WATER HYACINTH *EICHHORNIA CRASSIPES* AND THE ROLE OF BOTANIC GARDENS IN THE SPREAD OF AN AGGRESSIVE INVADERPIA PAROLIN¹, STEPHANIE BARTEL¹, BARBARA RUDOLPH²

¹ Max-Planck-Institute for Evolutionary Biology, P.O.Box 165, 24302 Plön, Germany. pparolin@botanik.uni-hamburg.de

² Biozentrum Klein Flottbek, Division of Systematics, Ohnhorststr. 18, 22609 Hamburg, Germany.

ABSTRACT

Eichhornia crassipes originates from South America. It is an aquatic floating plant in freshwater lakes and slow-moving streams. Its growth is favored by nutrient rich water. Its native range is the Amazon basin, but nowadays it is introduced and cultivated in most warm countries. It has been imported from South America to decorate ponds and occasionally escaped into local environments and has thus spread to more than 50 countries on five continents. Nowadays, water hyacinth is one of the worst weeds in the world. Some cite the species as the worst aquatic weed worldwide. The Invasive Species Specialist Group (ISSG) has nominated this species as among 100 of the "World's Worst" invaders. After having been described scientifically in 1823 from the South American tropics it reached a botanic garden in Java because of its attractive flowers. From there – thrown into a river – it spread rapidly over tropical Asia. By 1905 it could be found all over the continent. 1880 it reached Europe and few years later Africa and North America. In 1962 the Kongo near Kinshasa carried 150 t of *Eichhornia* per hour.

In the present study, we analysed the genetic diversity of populations in their native range and in invasive populations worldwide. The results showed that there were several diverse independent introductions worldwide, confirming worldwide weed reports which postulate different sites and origins of introductions. Given the environmental and economical dangers related to the expansion of *E. crassipes* – among others they affect boat traffic, fisheries and energy generation by plugging water turbines, they reduce flow velocity, choke irrigation channels, cause hypoxic conditions in the water, provide breeding grounds for disease-carrying insects – the role of botanic gardens as initiators of invasions must be taken seriously. Obviously their role for education and conservation prevails by far, but the historical dangers linked to the expansion of highly aggressive species with high growth rates and no local enemies should not be underestimated.

KEY WORDS

colonization, control, *Eichhornia crassipes*, environmental impact, invasive species, management

INTRODUCTION

Eichhornia crassipes (Mart.) Solms, the common water hyacinth (Figure 1), is one of the worst weeds in the world. Because of its beautiful flowers it has been exorted to decorate ponds and occasionally escaped into local environments where it reproduced incredibly fast. Some cite the species as the worst aquatic weed worldwide (Oberholzer, 2002). Its native range is the Amazon basin in South America, but nowadays it is introduced and even cultivated in more than 50 countries on five continents (Gopal, 1987; Barrett, 1989; Mansor, 1996).




Figure 1. *Eichhornia crassipes* in its native environment in Amazonia, Brazil.

The proliferation of water hyacinth in its exotic range is determined largely by two factors: nutrient supply and the absence of natural enemies of the weeds. They do not tolerate brackish water (Holm et al., 1977) and salinity can limit or modify their distribution. For example, water hyacinth, which accumulates in the coastal lagoons of West Africa during the wet season, is reduced in those areas which become saline during the dry season. Elevations are not important: they occur near sea level as well as up to an elevation of 1.600 m or higher (Smith, 1979).

The spread of this invasive species is closely linked to botanic gardens, and to biologists, botanists, and travelers who carried *E. crassipes* to Africa, Asia and the other continents between the 16th and the 20th centuries. These initial populations grew so fast and became so thick that they choke lakes and rivers. Because of their extremely fast reproduction and high biomass production (Madsen, 1993; 1997), and because of their aggressive spread all over the world this species is of high interest not merely to biologists but also for tasks of environmental conservation and local economy.

PRODUCTIVITY AND BIOMASS OF *EICHHORNIA CRASSIPES*

Eichhornia crassipes is an aquatic free floating species in freshwater lakes, marshes, canals, and slow-moving streams whose growth is favored by nutrient rich water. *E. crassipes* reproduces mainly vegetatively, by forming daughter rosettes, especially when warm and shallow water or saturated soils for seed germination are missing (Barrett, 1980). *E. crassipes* dominates in the northern part of South America (Figure 2). It can float freely because of the spongy tissue in its leaves (Figure 3).




Figure 2. Native range of *Eichhornia crassipes* (green) and invasive range (red).



Figure 3: Spongy tissue in the leaves of *E. crassipes* which enhances floatation (Photo Parolin 1994).

An acre of floating mats of water hyacinth can weigh more than 200 tons, and mats may double their size in as little as 6-18 days (<http://www.owl.net.rice.edu/~bios316/Eichhornia%20crassipes.pdf>). This ability depends not on any intrinsic photosynthetic advantage compared to other plants, but on the free-floating habit and many-branched growth pattern which enables the plants to remain in an active vegetative form until the water surface is covered (Sale et al., 1985).

Even under non-ideal conditions (slower growth due to non-tropical temperatures), as e.g. in a study in the USA, the reproductive capacity of *Eichhornia crassipes* was immense: within one vegetation period 10

plants formed a dense mat with about 655.000 plants covering 0.4 ha. Per hectare water surface, biomass weight of a several year old *Eichhornia*-mat was about 75 t (<http://www.tu-darmstadt.de/fb/bio/bot/eichhornia/>). In northern California, where winter causes a high death rate, their rapid reproduction and high productivity in the summer months enable the populations to re-establish from year to year (Bock, 1969).

The exact time of biomass doubling depends on location and time of year (Lindsey & Hirt, 1999). As a free-floating plant, all its nutrients come from the water column (Sculthorpe, 1985) and thus they depend on nutrient-rich environments. Fitzsimons & Vallejos (1986) measured the productivity of water hyacinth in the middle Paraná River, which is part of its native range. They found that, compared with inner island ponds, flowing waters connected to the main river showed better conditions for water hyacinth growth. The duration of the growth period was some 30% longer than in island ponds, probably due to the relatively warmer river waters. Productivity ranged between 108 and 164 g (fw) m⁻² d⁻¹ (annual average), depending also on population density.

In fact, Sale et al., (1985) found that *E. crassipes* has extremely high relative growth rates and short doubling times with respect to both dry weight and leaf area in uncrowded conditions. When plants become competitive with their neighbours, these growth rates drop, biomass per unit water surface area increases, and the plants proceed to further developmental phases. However, while the plants could float into open water they remained in a vigorous colonizing phase with a high rate of new leaf production and many active meristems. Throughout this time they maintained high and constant relative growth rates and a low biomass per unit water surface area.

WHAT IS THE PROBLEM?

Water hyacinth is one of the worst weeds in the world (Vietmayer, 1975; Holm et al., 1977; Waterhouse et al., 1987; Parsons & Cuthbertson, 1992; Julien, 1992; Waterhouse, 1994; Simberloff et al., 1997; Swarbrick, 1997; Julien & Griffiths, 1998; Whistler & Steele, 1999; Space & Flynn, 2000; Space et al., 2000; 2003). The Invasive Species Specialist Group (ISSG) has nominated this species as among 100 of the "World's Worst" invaders as it is introduced in most warm countries on our planet (for a list of countries see http://www.hear.org/pier/species/eichhornia_crassipes.htm), mainly in non-flowing water bodies.

Its fast growth and extremely high biomass productivity makes a dangerous pest of this invasive plant (Figure 4). It has therefore the role of "ecosystem engineer" or of an invasive habitat modifier.



Figure 4. Natural stand of *E. crassipes* in eastern Amazonia near Belém (Photo Ana Lucia, 2006).

Water hyacinth reproduces vegetatively by means of stolons which, together with solitary plants or drifting mats, are readily distributed by water currents, wind, boats and rafts. Persistence and spread by this means can be very significant (Sculthorpe, 1985). *E. crassipes* forms large, free-floating, monospecific mats that compete with other aquatic species for light, nutrients and oxygen. Mats degrade water quality by blocking the air-water interface and greatly reduce dissolved oxygen levels and light thus significantly altering invertebrate and vertebrate communities, and eliminating underwater animals such as fish (Penfound & Earle, 1948). As biomass from mats decomposes, organic input to sediments increases dramatically (Gopal, 1987). Fish spawning areas may be reduced and critical waterfowl habitat may be degraded (Schmitz et al., 1993). Water hyacinth greatly reduces biological diversity: mats eliminate native submersed plants by blocking sunlight, alter emerged plant communities by pushing away and crushing them, and also alter animal communities by blocking access to the water and/or eliminating plants that animals depend on for shelter and nesting (Gowanloch, 1944).

Furthermore, water hyacinth may interfere with the use of a water-body for cultural, social or commercial purposes causing substantial economic hardship and putting livelihoods at risk. The mats affect boat traffic, fisheries and energy generation by plugging water turbines, they reduce flow velocity, choke irrigation channels, and provide breeding grounds for disease-carrying insects (Smith, 2002). Its adaptability to little competed ecological conditions makes its eradication virtually impossible and control extremely difficult (Gutierrez et al., 1996; Gutierrez et al., 2001). Accumulations of *Eichhornia* on water surfaces are an optimal breeding habitat for hosts of human pathogens such as malaria (the mosquito *Anopheles* as host for different *Plasmodium* species) and bilharzia (the snail *Biomphalaria* as host of *Schistosomiasis*).

CONTROL. Three basic techniques exist for its control; chemical, mechanical, and biological (Williams, 2007). Chemical control is least favoured owing to the potential damage that herbicides could cause the lake and surrounding agriculture. Herbicidal control of large infestations of water hyacinth growing under favorable conditions has been attempted only rarely (Langeland & Smith, 1993; Olaleye & Akinyemiju, 1996) and even when enormous resources have been invested, as in Sudan, has had little effect. However, this method has been successful for controlling small infestations accessible by land or boat and eradicating small infestations in regions that are climatically unfavorable to growth of this plant.

Mechanical removal has been attempted but is largely ineffective. In the Orinoco Delta in Venezuela a simple system of boles has been installed which open with the outflowing water at low tide and close with the inflowing water at high tide, thus transporting the *Eichhornia* mats towards the ocean in a sort of sluice system (Figure 5). In other conditions mechanical control is linked to high costs due to expensive machinery and manpower and thus is less efficient.



Figure 5. Orinoco Delta in Venezuela with system of boles which open with the outflowing water at low tide and close with the inflowing water at high tide, transporting the *Eichhornia* mats towards the ocean in a sort of sluice system (Photo Parolin 1990).

Biological control is therefore the most favoured method of control. In 1995 the Kenya Agricultural Research Institute (KARI) released *Neochetina eichhorniae* (mottled water hyacinth weevil) a native tropical American bug that feeds exclusively on water hyacinth. A mass rearing programme was also begun which has now released at least 142,000 weevils at 30 sites along the Lake Victoria shoreline (LVEMP, 2000). In South Africa, a program to introduce one of its native predators, the grasshopper *Cornops aquaticum* as a control agent to combat *Eichhornia* growth (Oberholzer & Hill, 2001; Adis & Junk, 2003), has just been stopped through the acitivity of Prof. Joachim Adis and his team. Since *Cornops* does not essentially reduce the biomass of *Eichhornia crassipes*, it was not clear whether the introduction of a potentially dangerous grasshopper would have been effective, or if side effects – e.g. the introduced arthropods becoming a plague themselves – would have caused even more trouble.

POSSIBLE USAGE OF WATER HYACINTH. While the majority of scientists see water hyacinth as a noxious weed posing an ecological disaster on pristine aquatic environments, many locals have taken water hyacinth as an economic opportunity. In most invaded countries it is being used for forage, as compost and fertilizer, for the production of briquettes, cellulase and paper, fiber board and rope, as a source of methane gas, or for the production of furniture (Figure 6). Nevertheless, the idea to use the enormous netto productivity for human purposes did not yield solutions to the problems posed by the invader (Kikuchi et al., 1997). An interesting use of *Eichhornia crassipes* is water treatment and phytoremediation: it is known to resist and survive high concentrations of heavy metals (Zinc, Copper, Mercury and others), which are a major problem in wastewater.

HOW IT INVADED THE WORLD

After having been described scientifically in 1823 – the genus name honours the Prussian minister Johann Albert Friedrich Eichhorn (1779-1856) – *Eichhornia crassipes* was transferred to Europe by the early

18th century (Kitunda, 2006). *Eichhornia* conquered the worldwide tropics by diverse different campaigns, mainly starting from Europe in 1880, supported by interested botanists.

PROPAGATION IN ASIA. *Eichhornia* reached a botanic garden in Java because of its attractive flowers (<http://www.tu-darmstadt.de/fb/bio/bot/eichhornia/>). From there – thrown into a river – it spread rapidly over tropical Asia. By 1905 it could be found all over the continent. According to other reports, *Eichhornia* came to Europe in 1880 and from here it reached the Indonesian Island Java in 1884. In the botanic garden of Bogor a Thai princess saw the water hyacinth in 1907. Charmed of the beauty of this water plant, she decided to propagate one clone for the pond of her palace. Missing any natural enemy, this plant spread out and became a plague within four years. So the Thai government enacted a law prohibiting the propagation of *Eichhornia* (<http://www.waterhyacinth.de/seiten/schoenesmonster.htm>).

In China, water hyacinth was distributed widely into almost all provinces for animal food in the 1950s and 1960s. After artificial transplanting, mass rearing and breeding, water hyacinth was distributed to further areas in the 1970s (Jianqing et al., 2001).

INTRODUCTION AND DISTRIBUTION IN AFRICA. For the African continent, several introductions at different places and time points within the 16th and 20th century are described (summarized by Kitunda,



Figure 6. Use of *E. crassipes* for the production of furniture (Photo Parolin 2006, Zukunftszentrum Mensch - Natur - Technik - Wissenschaft, Nieklitz).

2006). One of the first introduction events is reported from Egypt in the late 1790th by the French botanist Alire Raffeneau-Delile (specified below). Whereas in Lake Victoria, where it is one of the major threats to the local biodiversity today, it was not reported until 1989 although it is believed to have been present since at least the early 80's (Twongo & Balirwa, 1995). The problems associated with water hyacinth however did not become apparent in Lake Victoria until the early 90's. By 1995 90% of the Ugandan coastline was covered by the plant. In 1962 the Kongo near Kinshasa carried 150 t of *Eichhornia* per hour (<http://www.tu-darmstadt.de/fb/bio/bot/eichhornia/index.html>).

Kitunda reports in his summary that “between 1880 and 1980, water hyacinth appeared as an ecological nuisance in many parts of Africa. It caused a popular crisis in South Africa in the 1910s,

Madagascar in the 1920s, Tanzania, Uganda and Kenya in the 1930s through the 1970s. In the 1980s and 1990s, water hyacinth bloomed heavily on Lake Victoria, the Nile, the Congo and almost all watercourses of Africa.”

COLONIZATION OF NORTHERN AMERICA. Water hyacinth reached the North American continent in 1884. It is believed to have been introduced into the U.S. in this year at an exposition in New Orleans (IFAS, 1998); within 70 years of reaching Florida, the plant covered 126,000 acres of waterways (Schmitz et al., 1993).

INVASION OF AUSTRALIA. In the 1890s the water hyacinth was brought also to Australia as an ornamental plant for ponds (Burton, 2005). In New South Wales it was recorded for the first time in 1895. Already in 1897, the government botanist Maiden noted a rapid spread of *Eichhornia* in all the ponds in the Royal Botanic Gardens in Sydney and “warned that the plant should be kept away from the northern rivers where it ‘may very rapidly become a serious pest...’” (Burton, 2005). In spite of the advanced warning by the early 1900s it had spread along the north-eastern regions of New South Wales and the east coast of Queensland as well. In 1976 a major infestation covering 7000 hectares, threatening the Murray-Darling system has been recorded being under control now but requiring annual monitoring and maintenance (Burton, 2005).

WORLDWIDE DISTRIBUTION OF *EICHHORNIA*. A fast and easy overview of the present distribution of the invasive *Eichhornia* is given by the Global Invasive Species Database of the Invasive Species Specialist Group (ISSG) of the IUCN Species Survival Commission. Though this weed is reported to exhibit frost sensitivity, individuals of *Eichhornia* happened to survive even in temperate Germany (Lösch pers. comm.) where the small river Erft near Düsseldorf has a minimum winter temperature of 10°C due to a coal-fired power station in the region. So far, this is the northernmost report of occurrence of *E. crassipes*.

BIOTA TRANSFER AMONG CONTINENTS: THREE MAIN INSTITUTIONS ARE RESPONSIBLE

A good overview of the main institutions which were instrumental to the transfer of biota between continents before the 20th century is given by Kitunda (2006): “First, Christian missionaries, particularly Catholic missionaries, brought to Africa their long-standing tradition of collecting and carrying with them exotic plants and growing them in mission stations that they established in foreign lands. Jesuits, Capuchin, and the White Fathers missionaries are said to have introduced water hyacinth in the offshore islands of Africa from the early 17th century onward. Around 1900 the White Fathers introduced water hyacinth in Rwanda, at the headwaters of the Kagera River, which drains into Lake Victoria and exits the lake as the Nile River.

The second factor in the spread of water hyacinth in Africa was a network of museums, which emerged in the 19th century. Early samples of water hyacinth are still available in museum herbaria in Africa. The plants escaped from these herbaria to the open water in the 20th century, but mere escape was not enough to allow the plant to proliferate. Another set of factors – change in hydrology and chemistry of African water courses – promoted the expansion of small amounts of water hyacinth to crisis levels.

The third important institution in the transfer of water hyacinth to Africa and Asia was the network of botanic gardens and fish hatcheries that Europeans established in Africa from the middle of the 17th century. Subsequently, navigation activities between various European missionary or botanical stations promoted accidental spread of water hyacinth along the African water courses.”

THE DIVERSITY OF WORLDWIDE *EICHHORNIA CRASSIPES* POPULATIONS

Despite its wide range of occurrence and its economical importance, an overarching analysis of *E. crassipes* comprising populations in its native range as well as from introduced regions has not been accomplished on molecular genetic level. Genetic studies exist on *Eichhornia paniculata* (Husband & Barrett, 1998) and Ren et al., (2005) could demonstrate that Chinese populations of *Eichhornia crassipes* show low genetic differentiations probably due to low level of sexual reproduction. These results based on random amplified polymorphic DNA markers (RAPDs).

The worldwide propagation of *E. crassipes* was not analysed until a recent study by Parolin et al. (unpubl.), who analysed patterns of gene flow and genetic structure in its native range and in the invasive populations worldwide. For this to date unpublished study, samples were collected all over the world and molecular analyses were carried out (AFLP and cpRFLPs). The results showed that there were several diverse independent introductions worldwide, confirming worldwide weed reports which postulate different sites and origins of introductions. The samples taken from China and India clustered within different parts of the northern South American populations indicating several introductions of *E. crassipes* from different regions of the North of South America (Brazil and Peru) into the Asian tropics. Individuals from Laos seem to be introduced from different parts of the northern as well as southern populations of South America. The three individuals sampled in Niger showed different origins: one from a Peruvian population and two from the southern cluster of South America (Argentina/ Uruguay).

THE ROLE OF BOTANIC GARDENS

The role of botanic gardens for education and conservation is undiscussed and extremely important. They have accumulated centuries of resources and expertise, and now play a key role in plant conservation. Also the important role of botanic gardens in developing biological pest managements has to be stressed, which is only efficient with the prerequisites that CABI-Bioscience (2004) state: You need to know where the pest comes from, and under which climatic conditions it will prosper. This knowledge is often provided by the natural history museums in the form of regional floras. Seeds with a substantial genetic diversity are required to culture the plants in order to make research with them. The only institutions capable of providing great genetic variability are botanic gardens with the back up of the herbaria.

On the other hand, the role of botanic gardens as initiators of invasions must be taken seriously, as e.g. the environmental and economical dangers related to the expansion of highly aggressive species with high growth rates and no local enemies like *E. crassipes* demonstrates (Figure 7). Definitely, not only botanic gardens are to blame concerning the worldwide spread of *E. crassipes*, but they played an important role. Reichard & White (2001) state that most invasive plants have been introduced for horticultural use by individuals and nurseries, and by botanic gardens. Their (not only) historical role for introduction and spread of (potentially) invasive species is a fact, as several examples in Europe and worldwide show.

EXAMPLE OF BOTANIST DELILE AND THE EXPANSION OF A NETWORK OF BOTANIC GARDENS.

One of the first citations of *Eichhornia crassipes* invading the world is that of the french botanist Alire Raffeneau-Delile who was cultivating that plant in Egypt by the late 1790s under the auspices of Empress Josephine and Emperor Napoleon, who occupied Egypt between 1797 and 1807 (Kitunda, 2006). Botanist Delile was instrumental in the expansion of a French network of Botanic Gardens (and Amazonian plants) across Africa, the most imminent extensions being those of the King's Garden and Montpellier Botanic Gardens to the African islands and the Nile Valley (Kitunda, 2006). Delile had probably obtained seeds or seedlings sent to Josephine from Amazonia by Alexander Von Humboldt and Aime Bonpland, who went out collecting specimens along the Orinoco River – a tributary of Amazon – between 1790 and 1800.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Most scientists nowadays consider invasive species to be one of the top two threats to this planet's native plant life – almost as much as habitat loss. Yet invasive plants are still commercially available, and a few of them are wildly popular, like *E. crassipes* for aquaristic purposes. Only in 2006 it was introduced by mistake in Tuscany, probably as a result of the release from aquaria (Lastrucci & Foggi, 2006).

The most important criteria fulfilled by these invasive species are their ability to escape from natural enemies, rapid growth, strong ability to reproduce vegetatively, early maturity and the production of many seeds which are dispersed widely (such as by birds or wind) and germinate quickly, and often also the



Figure 7. Cultivation of *E. crassipes* – among others – in the botanic garden of Insel Mainau, Germany (Photo Parolin 2006, Konstanz).

capacity to produce seeds asexually.

Unfortunately, it is difficult to predict whether new species will become invasive if introduced to other ecosystems. There are a few computer models that offer some guidance on whether or not a new species is likely to become invasive (Marinelli, 2000).

Given the environmental and economical dangers related to the expansion of *E. crassipes*, the role of botanic gardens as initiators of invasions must be taken seriously. Obviously their role for education and

conservation prevails by far, but the dangers linked to the expansion of highly aggressive species with high growth rates and no local enemies should not be underestimated. Let us learn from the water hyacinth!

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS – This manuscript is dedicated to Prof. Joachim Adis. Special thanks to Stephanie Bartel, who produced the masses of AFLP and cpRFLP data, and to many collectors of plant material worldwide (Argentina: C. Franceschini, S. Capello; E. Drago, M. Marchese; A. Poi de Neiff; E. Drago, R. Regner, Brazilian Pantanal: M.R.T. Boeger (Curitiba); Colombia: G. Rueda Delgado, Paraguay: F. Mereles, Peru: S. Yanoviak, Uruguay: M. Lhano, Niger: A. Wezel, Sri Lanka: M. Finlayson, Laos: I. Campbell, Germany Palmengarten Frankfurt: C. Bayer). Financing from W.J. Junk (MPI Plön), DAAD, Capes, CNPq, INPA/Max-Planck.

REFERENCES

- Adis J. & Junk W.J., 2003. Feeding impact and bionomics of the grasshopper *Cornops aquaticum* on the water hyacinth *Eichhornia crassipes* in Central Amazonian floodplains. *Stud. Neotrop. Fauna & Environm.* 38(3):245-249.
- Barrett S.C.H., 1980: Sexual reproduction in *Eichhornia crassipes* (water hyacinth). II. Seed production in natural populations. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 17: 113 – 124.
- Barrett S.C.H., 1989. Waterweed invasions. *Scientific American* 264(4): 90-97.
- Bock J.H., 1969. Productivity of the Water Hyacinth *Eichhornia Crassipes* (Mart.) Solms. *Ecology* 50:460-464.
- Burton J., 2005. *Water hyacinth Eichhornia crassipes*. New Department of Primary Industries. <http://www.ojdinfo.nsw.gov.au/reader?MIvalObj=21754&doctype=document&MItypeObj=application/pdf&ext=.pdf>
- CABI Bioscience, 2004. Switzerland Centre Annual Report.
- Fitzsimons R.E. & Vallejos R.H., 1986. Growth of water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes* (Mart.) Solms) in the middle Paraná River (Argentina) *Hydrobiologia* 131: 257-260.
- Gopal B., 1987. Water hyacinth. Elsevier, New York, NY.
- Gowanloch J.N., 1944. The economic status of water-hyacinth in Louisiana. *La. Conserv.* 2:3-8.
- Gutiérrez E., Huerto P., Salana P. & Arreguin F., 1996. Strategies for water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*) control in Mexico. *Hydrobiologia* 340: 181-185.
- Gutiérrez E.L., Ruiz E.F., Uribe E.G. & Martínez J.M., 2001. Biomass and productivity of water hyacinth and their application in control programs.
- Holm L.G., Plucknett D.L., Pancho J.V. & Herberger J.P., 1977. The world's worst weeds: Distribution and Biology. East-West Center/University Press of Hawaii. pp. 72-77.
- Husband B.C. & Barrett S.C.H., 1998. Population genetic structure in the tropical plant *Eichhornia paniculata*: Implications for gene flow in ephemeral habitats. *American Journal of Botany* 85:60-61.
- IFAS, 1998. Water hyacinth, *Eichhornia crassipes*. Invasive Nonindigenous Plants in Florida University of Florida, IFAS, Center for Aquatic Plants. <http://aquat1.ifas.ufl.edu/>.
- ISSG database http://www.invasivespecies.net/database/species/impact_info.asp?si=70&fr=1&sts=sss.
- Jianqing D., Ren W., Weidong F. & Guoliang Z., 2001. Water Hyacinth in China: Its Distribution, Problems and Control Status. In: M.H. Julien, M.P. Hill, T.D. Center and Ding Jianquig (eds.). *Biological and Integrated Control of Water Hyacinth Eichhornia crassipes*.
- Julien M.H., 1992. Biological control of weeds: A world catalogue of agents and their target weeds (third edition). CAB International, Wallingford, UK. pp. 77-82, 126, 135-136.
- Julien M.H. & Griffiths M.W., 1998. *Biological Control of Weeds: a world catalogue of agents and their target weeds*. 4th Ed. CABI Publishing, Oxon, UK.
- Kikuchi T., Takagi M., Tokuhisa E., Suzuki T., Panjaitan W. & Yasuno M., 1997. Water hyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*) as an indicator to show the absence of *Anopheles suncaicus* larvae. *Medical Entomology and Zoology* 48(1): 11-18.
- Kitunda J., 2006. Online library Appalachian State University http://www.humanflowerproject.com/index.php/weblog/water_hyacinth_double_edge/.
- Langeland K.A. & Smith B.E., 1993. Evaluation of copper herbicides for the control of waterhyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*) in New and improved methods for the control of aquatic weeds, semi-annual report of the U.S. Department of Agriculture, IFAS/University of Florida Center for Aquatic Plants, Florida.
- Lastrucci L. & Foggi B., 2006. Prima segnalazione di *Eichhornia crassipes* (Mart.) Solms (Pontederiaceae) per la Toscana. *Atti Soc. tosc. Sci. nat., Serie B*, 113:27-30.
- Lindsey K. & Hirt H.M., 1999. Use Water Hyacinth! *Anamed*, Winnenden, Germany. 114 pp
- Madsen J.D., 1993. Growth and biomass allocation patterns during waterhyacinth mat development. *Journal of Aquatic Plant Management* 31: 134-137.
- Madsen J.D., 1997. Methods of management of nonindigenous aquatic plants. In Luken, J.O. and J.W. Thieret (eds.) *Assessment and management of plant invasions*. Springer, New York, NY.
- Mansor M., 1996. Noxious floating weeds of Malaysia. *Hydrobiologia* 340(1-3): 121-125.
- Marinelli J., 2000. Invasive Plants – What Every Gardener Needs to Know. *Plants & Gardens News* 15.

- Oberholzer I.G. & Hill M.P., 2001. How safe is the grasshopper *Cornops aquaticum* for release on water hyacinth in South Africa? In: Biological and Integrated Control of Water Hyacinth, *Eichhornia crassipes*. M.H. Julien, M.P. Hill, T.D. Center & Ding Jianqing (Eds.). ACIAR Proceedings 102:82-88.
- Oberholzer I.G., 2002. Project to Conserve Water Resources: ARC-PPRI Scientists Visit China. Plant Protection News 61:10-11.
- Olaleye V.F & Akinyemiju O.A., 1996. Effect of a glyphosate (N-(phosphonomethyl) glycine) application to control *Eichhornia crassipes* Mart. on fish composition and abundance in the Abiala Creek, Niger Delta, Nigeria. Journal of Environmental Management 47(2): 115-122.
- Parsons W.T. & Cuthbertson E.G., 1992. Noxious weeds of Australia. Indata Press, Melbourne/Sydney. p. 139-144.
- Penfound W.T. & Earle T.T., 1948. The biology of the water hyacinth. Ecol. Monogr. 18:449-72.
- Ramey V., 2001. Non-Native Invasive Aquatic Plants in the United States. Center for Aquatic and Invasive Plants, University of Florida and Sea Grant. <http://plants.ifas.ufl.edu/seagrant/cautax2.html>.
- Ren M.-X., Zhang Q.-G. & Zhang D.Y., 2005: Random amplified polymorphic DNA markers reveal low genetic variation and a single dominant genotype in *Eichhornia crassipes* populations throughout China. Weed Research 45: 236 – 244.
- Reichard S.H. & White P., 2001. Horticulture as a Pathway of Invasive Plant Introductions in the United States. BioScience 51(2):103–113.
- Sale P.J.M., Orr P.T., Shell G.S. & Erskine D.J.C., 1985. Photosynthesis and growth rates in *Salvinia molesta* and *Eichhornia crassipes*. The Journal of Applied Ecology 22:125-137.
- Schmitz D.C., Schardt J.D., Leslie S.J., Dray Jr. F.A., Osborne J.A. & Nelson B.V., 1993. The Ecological Impact and Management History of Three Invasive Alien Aquatic Plant Species in Florida. in B.N. McKnight (ed). Biological Pollution: the control and impact of invasive exotic species. Indiana Academy of Science. Indianapolis, IN.
- Sculthorpe C.D., 1985. The biology of aquatic vascular plants. Koeltz Scientific Books, Konigstein, West Germany.
- Simberloff D., Schmitz D.C. & Brown T.C., 1997. Strangers in paradise. Island Press, Washington, D.C.
- Smith A.C., 1979. Flora Vitiensis nova: A new flora of Fiji. Lawai, Kauai, Hawai'i. National Tropical Botanical Garden. 1:175-176.
- Smith N.M., 2002. Weeds of the wet/dry tropics of Australia - a field guide. Environment Centre NT, Inc. 112 pp.
- Space J.C. & Flynn T., 2000. Observations on invasive plant species in American Samoa. USDA Forest Service, Honolulu. 51 pp.
- Space J.C., Waterhouse B., Denslow J.S., Nelson D. & Mazawa T., 2000. Invasive plant species in Chuuk, Federated States of Micronesia. USDA Forest Service, Honolulu. 41 pp.
- Space J.C., Waterhouse B.M., Miles J.E., Tiobech J. & Rengulbai K., 2003. Report to the Republic of Palau on invasive plant species of environmental concern. USDA Forest Service, Honolulu. 179 pp.
- Swarbrick J.T., 1997. Weeds of the Pacific Islands. Technical paper No. 209. South Pacific Commission, Noumea, New Caledonia. 124 p.
- Twongo T. & Balirwa J.S., 1995. The water hyacinth problem and the biological control option in the highland lake region of the Upper Nile basin – Uganda's experience. Paper presented at the Nile 2000 conference: Comprehensive Water Resources Development of the Nile Basin: Taking Off. 13–17 February 1995, Arusha, Tanzania.
- Vietmeyer N.D., 1975. The beautiful blue devil. Natural History 84: 64-73.
- Waterhouse D.F., 1994. Biological control of weeds: Southeast Asian prospects. Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research, Canberra. p. 68-83.
- Waterhouse D.F. & Norris K.R., 1987. Biological control: Pacific prospects. Inkata Press, Melbourne. p. 332-341.
- Whistler A. & Steele O., 1999. Botanical survey of the United States of America Kwajalein Atoll (USAKA) Islands. Prepared for Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education and the U. S. Army Environmental Center. p. 105.
- Williams A.E., 2007. <http://freespace.virgin.net/ae.williams/WH.htm>.